1070 (not. 1469)

ON LABOUR

Unless any new formulation or reformulation of Waffle efforts recognizes the role of labour and the present state of labour in Canada, such efforts will be a waste of time.

U.S. unionism in Canada, connected to the NDP, has prevented the flowering of a truly anti-imperialist, socialist, and independentist force in the NDP.

U.S. unionism in the NDP is the reason that the Waffle group has been under constant attack within the party and from union leaders in Canada.

Let us not fool ourselves with the major misconceptions of

people who, in essence, support U.S. unionism in Cana da.

(1) It is not just a matter of 'union brass' being out of touch, business unionists who can be 'reformed'. It is a matter of a union movement dominated from a union centre outside the country -a union centre which is corrupt, business uionist and imp erialist within an imperialist state: the USA.

(2) It is not good enough to say that Canadian unions are and will be just as bad. In the first place it is axiomatic that any institutions a colony gets back into its own hands is more in the power of the people to influence. But more than that: for the last three years the Council of Canadian Unions national conventions have pass ed resolutions far more progressive than the CLC would even consider. And the Council of Canadian Unions has passed many, many resolutions that are similar to Waffle resolutions and some which are more pregressive.

(3) It is not good enough to say that we shouldn't split the union movement. The union movement in Canada today -- in its U.S. affiliated and dominated pertion -- is reactionary, colonialist, and often anti-socialist. To fight that organization is not to split the union movement but to begin to build a genuine one.

(4) It is not good enough to say that we have many friends in the U.S. affiliated and dominated unions. If we do have, they are clearly afraid to do anything --- most of them-- but give us moral support from a distante. We must respect them. We must respect their difficulty. But we must begin publicly, loudly, and unceasingly to expose U.S. unionism in Canada and to prepare the population and the workers in U.S. unions for the climate of opinion that will help them break loose and form real unions in Canadian union centres.

On the union question, as on all other questions, we must ask ourselves the implications of national liberation, of self-determination of anti-imperialism as well as of socialism. We cannot speak of the absolute necessity of delf-determination and a clear position on anti-imperialism for everything else but the unions. There can be no serious socialist perspective in Canadian unionism until the U.S. domination is thrown off .

We must dismiss for all time the phony charge brought in when Canadian unions are fought for: the charge of raiding. In labour history in Canada U.S. unions have battled Canadian unions from the start and do so today to prevent the growth of an organization that can truly expose the peverty of genuine uinnism among U.S. unions in Canada. Moreover, the return of power to Canada on all levels is going to have to be fought for. Any one who suggests that the return of union power to the Canadian workers from the U.S. offices who profit richly from Canadian unions will be peaceable and willing is naive beyond belief.

Between 1962 and 1969 (govt. figures) the net profit that went to the U.S.A. from Canadian union "contributions" was \$89,902,000.00.

(p.2) We must not ever forget that labour history in Canada tells us that when the One Big Union was being thought of and worked for in Canada, the strongest forces working against it made up an alliance: U.S. unionism, Canadian management, and Canadian government. reasons are very simple:

For U.S. unionism Canada was an imperial outpost, and Canada o

offered the riches mentioned on page one.

For Canadian management, U.S. unionism meant lackey unionism striving to become themselves kinds of capitalist entrepreneurs—which many of them have become. Moreover, Canadian management was assured that the proliferation of U.S. unions in Canada would balkanize and weaken Canadian workers in their struggle for a new They were right.

For Canadian government, colonial and subserving U.S. designs for the continent, the support of U.S. unions for Canada is very easy to understand, especially since Canada has a much more militant and socialist history of native unionism than is true across the border.

It is possible to point out how in recent times U.S. unions have shown they don't care about relations with their Camadian affiliates. when they can steal jobs from Canadians, and so on. That is no surprise. An understanding of imperialism tells us that the imperial power always uses the colony as a buffer for its own difficult times. If U.S. unions were not willing to sacrifice the Canadian worker for theirown well-being, they would not be a part of an imperialist statewhich we know they are.

The day by day injustices that arese from the union affiliations wi with U.S. centres can sidetrack us from the main issues, even though they show how glaringly U.S. unionism exploits the Canadian worker.

But this conference must take a clear, strong position that Canadian workers must have Canadian unions.

(1) Because we must help to liberate Canadian workers to work and think in an East-West way and not in a fractured, balkanized North-South way.

(2) We must assist Canadian workers to belong to unions that are genuinely political, genuinely socialist, and genuinely searching for and helping to construct a society with a quality of life that is truly socialist, truly respectful of creation, truly loving of other men. They cannot build such organization in U.S. unions.

(3) If we really believe that we are going to work for an independent, socialist Canada, then we must know that we cannot do it without the organized workers of Canada. To suppose they will work within U.S. unions is nonsense. To suppose that their unions will somehow ripen and fall off the bough into Canadian unions is also nonsense. To suppose the change can come about without struggle is To suppose that we can hypocritcally shirk our role as mader fighters, propagandists, and allies in the open struggle for a wholly independent Canadian union movement is to mislead ourselves and anyone who looks to us for direction and guidance.

We have failed our proper role on the union question in Canada until now. If we continue to do so, this movement will not be a progressive movement; but a little NDP jockeying for power among the corrupt giants that sweep crumbs from their tables down to those who lick their boots as a way of seeking favour.

The struggle to make Canadians who are locked into U.S. unions for the time recognize that we will work for their good is not nearly as difficulty as it is made out to be. More and mere Canadians in U.S. dominated unions are coming to know their subordinate position. We must fight for them, with them, to be the people who build an independent union movement as a part of an independent socialist Canada.

VARRIR NEW

FOR THE NDP AND CANADA

DECEMBER / 70

CANADA

A FULL ALTERNATIVE



JIM LAXER

STRIKE AGAINST

The long strike of General Motors of Canada workers (13 weeks by Waffle News deadline, Dec. 7) sharpened the debate in labour circles over the role of multi-nutional corporations and U.S. based unions in Canada.

Plant-level membership meetings in late November to back Canadian negotistors in their stand for U.S. wage parity revealed restiveness in the rank and file. Hany openly saked if the Canadian strikers had been sold out when President Leonard Woodcock and General Motors reached contative agreement in mid-November for U.S. workers.

The union hierarchy, meanwhile, strongly denounced suggestions that a deal to go out together and back together was broken by the American UAW. The atrikes began Sept. 15.

Some Canadian negotiators said there had been an understanding that parity would be resolved at the bargaining table in Detroit.

But no concern was voiced during a UAW GM council meeting in Detroit to approve the agreement. Canadian negottators said nothing while the Americans tore up their picket signs. "Sold out" seemed too strong. At worst, Canadian OH workers had been misled.

The company's first offer on major issues since before the walkout began tried to chisel the corporation's 24,000 Canadian production workers on almost every area of dispute. Base rates were typically 23 cents an hour less (nearly \$40 a month) in the proposal than in the American settlement.

Pensions were cheaper and the bankrupt supplementary unemployment benefit fund was still in the red.

Worry grew that strike benefits (\$30 to \$40 a week on right - not on need) would be cut off in Canada. But Woodcock arrived in Toronto Bec. 1, pledged full support to the Canadian struggle d promised benefits would continuesting \$1 million a week.

waffle in the NDP federal leadership race, our candidate had already travelled saveral hundred miles through the prairies -appearing on radio and melevision, meeting with riding associations, campus groups and also delegates to the National Farmers Union convention.

And that will be the pattern of this campaign. Jim plans to take the waffle movement, figuratively at least, to New Democrats in all parts of Canada. He expects to criss-cross the country at least four times between new and April 21st,

The Laxer candidacy has been approved by four recent provincial waffle conferences and endorsed by a number of provincial steering committees. Although an Inner-waffle race was anticipated up until the November 8th deadline set by the national housekeeping committee, that committee's chairman John Smert found no provincial representatives opposed to the idea of Laxer being acclaimed as candidate.

Smart told the Ontario waffle conference of November 27-28 that Jim Is in no way a leadership candidate by default, since the provincial waffle groups had been opposed to the re-opening of nominations mostly because they were quite satisfied with Laxer's candidacy and anxious to get the waffle spakesman on the road.

Jim, who is 29 and a lecturer at Queen's University, noted that his participation in the teadership race will be unique because it won't involve the traditional personal campaigning.

"The waffie campaign will be a collective campaign," he told the Onterio conference, at which he made his official announcement. "Our decisions, our priorities and the programme we take to the convention will

be arrived at collectively. Although the waifle manifesto, of which he was co-author, successfully railled the left within the party to become a powerful one, Laxer notes that the relevance of our politics is clearer today than ever.

The critical events of the past month have cast linto clear relief the real choices in Canadian politics. The Prime Minister and his government have been att-empting to force the people of Canada to conform to their brand of federalism whatever the costs. To their well-known policy of selling Canada to American capitalists has been added the overt repression of democratic and left-wing movements in Quebec. The War Measures Act was not proclaimed to deal with a small group of terrorists, whose acts will never command the allegiance of popular movements in Quebec

for all men & women to come to the aid of the waffle. TO FORK OVER!

We, all of us, collectively, must raise a minimum of \$5,000 for the national leadership campaign. The campaign is a serious undertaking which cannot possibly be effective unless we raise the necessary money. Plans are to have dim Laxer do as much speaking across the country as possible. That means he has to fly, since he also has a fulltime teaching load to meet.Literature, convention facilities etc. will also cost money. This is a time for assuming support-

either \$5,220, or \$10 monthly for five months. We need it hadly & urgently Send to: Waffle Leadership Campaign

but to deal with the forces that the Prime Minister really fears in Ouebec...

S

S

NOW IS MUE TIME

INDUSTRIANT

INDUSTR of English Canada to the realities of Quebec historically and today. We must not flinch from the fact that Canadian confederation has always meant two kinds of exploitation for French Canada: national exploitation due to the fact that the French Canadian nation has never received tul! recognition as a national collectivity, and class expiditation that tems from the fact that the overwhelming majority of French Canadians have always been workers or small farmers whose aconomy has been dominated by outside capitalists, whether Anglo-Canadian or American...Plerre Trudeau and the Liberals have used the War Measures Act and the hysteria of the present crisis not only to pit English and French Canadians against one another, but to cover up the realities of the social situation in all parts of Canada. Let us book for a moment at the success story of Canadian capitalism, Hundreds of thousands of Canadlans are without jobs. This winter the working people of this country face the bleakest employment situation in ten years. The great American corporations that the Liberals have sold the shirts off our backs to attract here are laying off Canadian workers by the thousands. As Stanley Randall at Queen's Park hands out the people's money to induce the American glants to locate here, they

There is strong evidence to suggest that U.S. firms in Canada are cutting back production and laying off people on a higher scale than is true for the rest of the economy. Our economy has become an arena in which U.S. companies can expand and contract production depending on the general state of the U.S. economy. Right now, during a period of hard times, U.S. companies appear to be cutting back production in Canada to preserve a higher level of activity for their home operations in the U.S....and as if branch plant layoffs and deliberate government promotion of unemployment were not enough, the government is pursuing a resource policy that will lock this country into permanent high rates of joblessness. In the last few days the Canadian government has entered phase two of the continental energy resources deal. Naturally, Canada's Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources

... "Premier Bourassa, of one hundred thousand jobs fame, laft Quebec during the early days of the crisis to raise money in New York for the development of a hydro-electric power resources in northern Quebec. Naturally, instead of industrializing the province he wants to sell the power to Con-Edison of New York so that U.S. Industry will be sore productive, thus allowing the people of Quebec to buy back manufactured goods from the U.S. Bourassa, Greene and Randall, the holy trimity of Canadian resource extraction, cannot understand why as they busily give the country away, supposedly to create jobs, the unemployment rate climbs. 1+ 15 Interesting that the lay-offs at DuPont, Canadair, Chrysler and Canadian General Electric wiped out as many Jobs as the building of the gas pipelines involved in the gas sale of September ** III create in any one year.

"It is tragic that the crisis in Quebec has made it difficult for Canadians to see the importance of the new oil deal or for Quebackers to give more of their attention to the long-run implications of Bourassa's resource policy! ! will hazard the guess that in 20 years historians looking back on the year 1970 will regard it first as the year of the crucial energy deal and only secondarily as the year of the

FLQ and the War Measures Act.

"It is significant that as the energy deal is being made parallel developments are taking place in Canadian agriculture. Last spring the government task force report on agriculture advocated a large scale conversion of prairie wheat lands to grasslands for the raising of feeder cattle. feeder cattle are to be exported to the U.S. to be finished there and then Canadlans are to buy back the beef from the United States. This is a direct extension of the government's resource policy. We export a semi-finished good and buy back the final product. And, of course, the conversion of prime wheat land to grassland means a much more extensive form of agriculture with a lower yield per acre. The raising of feeder cattle can be carried out with much less manpower than is needed for wheat farming. The government scheme, If carried out, will speed the process of de-population of the rural areas of Saskatchewan and in the cities that are dependent on the rural economy.

"As a political movement and as members of the New Democratic Party, we must organize comprehensively and concretely to make possible a full alternative to the shambles of Canadlan capitalism. This means working within the party to evolve a party program that spells out in specifics how a socialist economy would work in Canada. We must work out the means of brining about public ownership of the

large corporations in the key sectors of the economy. We must evolve a plan of balanced regional development in Canada as an alternative to the present imperial structure of the North American economy so that the resource producing areas can acquire a balanced aconomic base. If we fall in achieving this In Canada we know that the wast bulk of the Canadian population will end up living in a few congested and Inhuman areas with the rest of the country de-populated J.J. Greene, denies that the sale of 6.3 trillion cubic feet of natural gas to the U.S. at the end of September and the announcement of a few days ago of a lift of the U.S. quota on Canadian oil are related to events in a developing continental energy package.
"As far as Mr. Greene is concerned the pros act of incr-

eased oil sales in the U.S. is a great breakthrough for Canada. What he doesn't bother to mention is the fact that 82.6 per cent of the oil and gas wells industry in this country is foreign-owned, so that the profilts from the sales go

out of the country.

... "As well as espousing long-term socialist objectives, we must come to grips with the immediate social crisis in employment, housing and cost of 11 wing which taces the Canadian people. It is not good enough for us to say that all those problems will be solved through socialism. People need jobs housing and a higher standard of living-NOW. And we must bagin to organize, now around a concrete program to relieve the burdens that will be felt by the working people of Canada it is interesting that the Prime Minister said last weekend that to hold out the prospect of great social Improvement and to raise expectations is more dangerous than bombs for our society. Because his business-dominated government is not capable of pursuing policies that will create jobs for Canadians and that will solve the housing crisis in this country, Trudeau brands those who advocate such policles as subversives. According to the new Trudeau doctrine, it is now subversive to advocate an end to unemployment, or to advocate people's control of the economy or to advocate independence or socialism for Canada. Well, Mr. Prime Minister, that is exactly what we intend to advocate. We will work this winter with workers, poor people and tenants to demand as a start a massive public housing program that will provids people with jobs and incomes that will help get the ecnomy rolling again and that will solve the very great hous-Ing problem of the Canadian people. And yes, Mr. Trudeau, we demand the building of the 2 million houses that are needed in this country over the next five years, even it you brand us as subversives for doing so....

NATIONAL STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING

December 31st, January 1.2, and 3 are the dates for a meeting in Regina of the National Steering Committee and National Campaign Comm. The purpose of the meeting is to finalize resolutions and to determine the overall thrust, direction and organization of the leadership campaign. Each provincial waffle has been working on preparing resolutions. They are to be discussed as fully as possible within the pre-vinces before final ratification by the meeting in Regina. If possible, they should be forwarded to John Smart, 228 Johnson St. Kingston, for pre-Regina distribution, Within Chtario the date for final submission to the Ontario steering committee is Dec. 18.

There are plans for a trave! pool for the Regina meeting. Steering co-littee members should content John Smart for further details.

GM STRIKE (CONTD)

Meanwhile, GH was having start-up problems below the 49th parallel. About one-quarter of the 400,000 UAW members were still on strike over local plant issues. The union's decision not to continue their atrike benefits (an academic question really, since the \$121 million pre-strike contingency fund was broke and \$30 million in debt) served to pressure the men back to work stimulating the corporation's gradual return to normalcy and full production.

Woodcock's goal of using the same index - the U.S. Bureau of Labour Statistics consumer price index - for computing cost-of-living bonuses in both countries took the corporation by surprise.

The U.S. index idea is a mischiev-

ous posture. For years Canadians have suffered the woes of U.S. Vietnamstoked inflation (high"interest rates, over-priced exports).

Now the UAW found a way for Canadisn workers to benefit by American inflation. The plan also would subvert anti-American feeling in the Canadian ranks, which the union hierarchs claim, was trumped up by political opportunists. Could Canadians demand U.S. wages without an American union?

The Canadian strikers were waging their own parity battle again for the selves and for Leonard Woodcock. UAW membership in the States could fall sharply if the auto industry were alloved to make care and components cheaper in Canada than in the U.S. The

Americans, as the UAW in this country knows well, have a wested interest in

CH, meanwhile roosted samugly in Detroit and such of the rest of the world, Despite a 10-week shutdown in the United States, it anneared the commany would be geared up sufficiently by January to match its first-quarter production record of 1.4 million care. (Canadiana asda about 500,000 cars and trucks last year for the South American market)

The lessons of the GM Canada strike are not yet clear. The Canadian wing of the union is only a mirror-image like so many other B.S. based unions of the branch-plant aconomy of the entire country. The rules are GM's and so is the board and all the pieces.

The Editors

Random Thoughts on the Need for Conceptual & Strategic Clarity

- ALLEN MILLS Toronto

'...Socialist power cam expropriate the private capitalist and create in this way the premises of workers! liberty; but if the organisation of production in the enterprise and in the total economy remains bureaucratised with a rigid system of centralised decision-making, then the workers will continue to experience social production as an alien process and will find themselves in a subordination in certain ways similar to that in the capitalist countries.' (Quoted in A. Gorz, Strategy for Labour, p. 39.)

... The first task of the working class movement today is to elaborate a new strategy and new goals which will indivisably units wage demands, the demand for control, and the demand for self-determination by the workers of the conditions of work. The only way to unite and mobilise a differentiated working class at present is to attack the class power of the employers and the class power of the State; and the only way to attack the class power of the employers and the State is to wrest from each employer (and from the State) a vital piece of his power of decision and

control." (Ibid, p. 43.)
The Waffle exists to help build an independent and socialist Canada, Integral to such a goal is the task of helping to develop in Canada a trade union movement that will be in the forefront, if not at the head, of those calling for independence and socialism.

IT SHOULD BE.

YOU KNOW.

COLLECTIVE

IN THE INTEREST OF OBSTRUCTING ELITISM, THE WAFFLE NEWS STAFF IN TORONTO HAS BEEN EXTENDED TO A GRAND SLAW TOTAL OF ONE HALF DOZEN SOULS.

BUT IT'S A KEEN HALF DOZEN-THAT WILL NO LONGER TOLERATE THE LACK OF COOPERATION FROM

NOVA SCOTIA REPORT

in Nova Scotia, only scattered individuals who supported the Manifesto

A "Teach-In on Americanization and Atlantic Underdevelopment" in Halifex on October 30 and 31, attended by Mel Watkins, Gil Levine, Robin Mathews, Laurier Lapierre and Gordon Cleaveland, among others, provided the impetus for the formation of the first Waffle group in Halifax. The people who constituted that first group (about 20 in number) were immediately faced with the problem of the N.D.P. Provincial Convention, only

two weeks away.
Individual Wafflers drew up resolutions which they felt to be consistent with the Waffle perspective on the issues of Atlantic Underdevelopment, Housing, Women, Universities, Pollution and

Extra-Parliamentary Activity.

Although not presented to the convention explicitly as "Waffle" resolutions, their tone and their presentation by Waffle spokesmen made them readily identifiable.

Waffle resolutions gained the support of other groups at the Convention, particularly the delegates from Local 1064 of the United Steel Workers in Sydney. The Waffle delegates also supported the resolutions put forward by Local 1064 since they were seen

as consistent with Waffle policy.

There was a Waffle caucus on the first evening of the Convention, with sympathetic people from Cape Breton and elsewhere in attendance, at which time Mel Watkins clarified various questions people had concerning the Waffle. At this caucus it was decided that the Waffle would not confront the Convention as an organized body, since an insufficient amount of explanation of the Wafflets position had been done in Cape Breton, and it was feared that the name "Waffle" would alienate socialists who in fact do have the same attitude to politics as does the Waffle.

In the end, it was difficult to define the support gained from the Convention at large for two main reasons: 1. the difficulty of getting clear-cut votes on policy, given the attempts by people to refer long resolutions (which most of the Waffle resolutions were); 2. in the Convention's attempt to cater to everyone, some Waffle resolutions were co-opted through combination with other resolutions or by the passing of conflicting resolutions.

This was one of the main handicaps of the procedure which we were forced to adopt. On one hand, we were unable to get clear debate or to clarify our strength, but, on the other hand, the waffle presence was definitely made felt: rhetoric shifted left somewhat, and the Waffle in Halifax made contact with a significant number of potential Waffle supporters in Cape Breton and the rest of Nova Scotia.

Finally, several Wafflers were elected to executive positions in the Party: Sandra Lewis to the Federal Council, David Lewis as a Vice President on the Mova Scotia Provincial Council, Bruce Archibald as a Provincial Council member and subsequently David Allen was elected as a Provincial Council representative from Halifax Cornwallia constituency, Robert Schwab from Antigonish, and Colin Stuttard from another Halifax constituency.

At the present time, our numbers have expanded to approximately 45 in the Halifax-Dartmouth area, with contacts in Trure, Lockeport, Sydney, Antigonish, and Pictou. The Halifax group is now having fairly frequent meetings.

The Halifax Waffle has decided that its priority is to involve the Waffle and the N.D.P. in extra-parliamentary activity to a much greater extent. In the immediate future this will mean getting involved with the present ferment in Halifax's welfare rights groups, tenants' associations and other peoples! groups. In a longer-range sense, it is necessary to make contact with sympathetic labour people in Nova Scotia since in the gast the union "brase" has been extremely conservative.

The Waffle also plans to take a stand on a long-range area planning proposal arrived at undemocratically by the Halifax City Government and the Nova Scotia Provincial Government.

PROVINCIAL GROUPS THAT WE HOPE IS
NOW PART OF WAFFLE NEWS HISTORY.
TO DATE THE PHILOSOPHY
OF THIS PUBLICATION HAS BEEN THAT OLD
NEWS. IS BETTER THAN NO NEWS. IT'S MADE
FOR STALE, AND OPT IRRELEVANT, NEWS AND
FEATURE ARTICLES.

Planning proposal arrived at undemocratically by the Helifax
City Government and the Mova Scotia Provincial Government.
Presently, plans are in the works for a demonstration against the Temporary Measures act, to be co-ordinated with the actions of the Emergency Committee to Restore Civil Liberties which has Waffle support elsewhere in the country.

Bruce Archibal David Allen

Bruce Archibald David Allen

THE TORONTO PRODUCTION CREW

INTENDS TO SET UP CONTACTS IN ALL PROVINCES IN A WAY THAT WILL PROVIDE FOR REGULAR AND QUICK COMMUNICATION (HOPEFULLY SEVERAL CONTACTS COULD BE MADE IN EACH PROVINCE SO WE MAY BE IN CONSTANT TOUCH IN THE AREAS OF LABOUR, WOMEN, INNER-PARTY ORGANIZING, ETC.)

MORE THAN EVER THE NATIONAL WAFFLE NEEDS A FORCEFUL AND EFFICIENT ORGAN FOR INTERNAL COMMUNICATION AS WELL AS GENERAL CONTACT. THE TORONTO PRODUCTION CREW IS COMMITTED TO THAT END. BUT IT'S A DREARY PROSPECT WITHOUT THE ASSURANCE OF ENTHUSIASTIC SUPPORT FROM ACROSS THE COUNTRY.

THE NEXT DEADLINE FOR THE WAFFLE NEWS WILL BE JAN. 13, 1971. NEWS ITEMS AND FEATURE ARTICLES SHOULD BE SENT TO: Tracy Morey, 40 Hazelton Avenue, #4, Toronto, Ontario.

REFLECTIONS

a wasted weekend... Is the waffle so convinced of the fatt-

acy of liberalism that it views progress as undesirable? In the last year I have seen no development in the thought or action of the waffle; indeed the consensus on fundementals is weaker now than at the begin-ming of the year. At the teach-in at the U. of T. at the beginning of 1970, the massage from the platform was strong and appealing; the waffle manifesto was clear; and the Ontario convention at Easter was productive.

But since then I have not seen any meetings of the waffle which have been product-lve. There have been satisfying moments: the Mitchel campaign in Saskatchewen (which I understand was largely run by a few women) the Onterio and Manitoba conventions, and the energy campaign led by Jim Laxer. But nothing has come from the general meetings, the stearing committees, or anything arising

out of the democratic process.
Unfortunately a large part of our message is democracy and if we cannot ourselves demonstrate that it works, we have no right

to continue with our rhetoric.

How are decisions made in the waffle and how can we get anywhere? I believe that we must errive at a consensus, accept it and build on it. 4 The continual questioning of our foundations has progressed to such an extent that I can no longer say what the waffle believes.
I do not know where decisions are made or

how people are influenced in the waffle, and I see no compassionate shoulders on which to weep, so I am using this medium to plead that something might be done to make it worthwhile

to attend a waffle meeting.

I think that if the following points were accepted the situation might improve.

- 1. We must accept our roots and our role In the NDP.
- a. All waffle members must also be NDP members.
- b. Let us support the NDP strongly when they deserve it.
- c. We must remain sufficiently aloof that we can make that judgement.

d. Let us make clear that we believe In achieving socialism through Parliament.

2. We accept the weffle manifesto.8

3. Once a decision has been reached and ratified by a general meeting we must accept it, and not continue the same debate at every waffle meeting.

--William R.C. Prior

FOOTNOTES

- 1. I am going to assume for the remainder of this article that we do wish to progress in our understanding of the ways in which Canada can become Independent and socialist.
- 2. During the recent convention in Ontario the only productive event took place 160 miles away in Kingston in the middle of the night.
- 3. Parhaps, since | no longer have confidence that the waffle believes in anything.
- 4. Certainly revisions will be necessary sometimes. If this becomes evident it should be presented as a resolution and considered.
- 5. I have no political experience and only joined the waffle since it appeared to be of a completely different nature from the other parties, including the NDP. Hence, one should realize that I write as a dis-Illustoned idealist, and am still very naive.
- 6. I joined the waffle before the NDP but I felt that to be useful 1 should atso join the NDP. I was not convinced of the necessity of this for others until this weekend. Now it is clear that the Toronto section has attracted people who only want to use the waffle to air their own vlaws.
- 7. Some waffiers seem so enamoured with radicalism that is no longer clear where they draw the line.
- B. I feel like an Athanasian discussing the incarnation but we have attracted socialists who are not nationalists and vice versa. We must maintain the balance.

. and the fire which explained various intricate patterns committee

In the days before the Great Fire there existed a self-appointed Fire Committee that took upon itself the most arduous and intoxicating task of planning how to behave after the Great Fire started and how to bring that bless day closer.

Sitting high on stacks of fingered books of actions, legs hanging toward but never touching the ground, they conferred, interred, referred, considered and signed about the Pian of Action.

"All will escape," one said.

"All who are clean and qualified," another corrected.

And this was followed by cries such as "The doors will be opened wide" "Each will make way for the next" "The tall will con-

sider the short". And then a most learned escapist would speak for days of how the weak could escape through doors in the ceiling. More difficult perhaps, but purer!

When one huddled beneath the table whispered, "When?" a chief would room "QUIET" and accent it with a kick.

When a harsh voice from the corner pleaded "I want to go out now" a tabled one would shout "We're talking words... don't disturb the flow."

(There were, of course, key--but they were lost.)

Sometimes between the tangled rhetoric there could be heard a sigh, or a grown or the scraping of one woice against enother. And too soon the lack of oxygen was felt. "We need oxygen for the Great Fire" cried a planner.

"You in the corner-give to the cause, quit breathing."

Those who didn't understand words were confused. They natively feared that at the time of the Great Fire things would the same and they would still be last in line. And one or two crouched near the door dreaming of a key imagined that they heard the crackling of flames between the roars of hearty rhetoric.

-a member of the Fire Committee.

AT THE RECENT EDMONTON CONFERENCE, DELEGATES BEGAN THRASHING OUT RESOLUTIONS FOR THE FEDERAL CONVENTION AND ALSO COMMITTED THEMSELVES TO RAISE \$1,000 FOR THE WAFFLE LEADERSHIP

LABOUR: concentration needed

Possibly the most useful suggestion coming out of the discussion around the waffle's strategy for labour at the Ontario waffle conference of November 27-28, was that workshops and panels contained in a general-purpose weekend seldom produced more tham shetoric and generalities. It was recognized that concentration on this key and difficult subject was easy to avoid when there were many other things to be The Ontario waffle now hopes to set up weekend meetings in the major cities to work exclusively on this question.

However, at this conference, there was a penel of three wafflers involved in labour who related their suggestions about what the waffle ought to be organizing around. Dan Heap, an active Toronto unionist, mentioned two areas he considered crucial--organizing the unorganized, and providing strong and active support to picket lines. Andre Bekerman, a hydro-worker in CUPE, expressed the popular opinion that It is the job of unions to organize the unorganized, because workers are not like to do something "just because a group of socialists tell them to." He felt that joining picket lines was a useful contribution to the workers involved in them, but it certainly should not be seen as a key to provoking their interest in heiping the waffle to develop its labour policies.

Bruce Moores, a member of the striking Oshawa LAW local talked of the need for education to confront worker apathy a condition which frustrated him in his attempts at political action in Oshawa. He noted that General Motors workers are beginning to resent the fact that their counterparts in the U.S. went back to work before Canadian demands were met despite promises to the contrary. He reported that many people with whom he worked knew that GM was quite happy to have the Canadian section not producing--to allow full production in the U.S. during the present economic squeeze.

Andre Bekerman feit that the workers Bruce spoke of

were only likely to be receptive to education when motivated by Issues, such as the ones Bruce mentioned, which concerned them closely.

Calls for "Canadian unions for Canadian workers" he suggested, "won't get us any closer to socialism" because such demands were undeveloped and must be related to the reasons why...He pointed to the militancy developing in the St. Therese GM plant, where workers are fighting for the right to speak their native French on the job and to select their own (French-speaking) foremen.

During discussion after the panel, Bret Smiley responded to the chairmonan's call for concrete strategy suggestions by noting that although the working out of an analysis might better develop through our practice, we were hampered by the lack of consensus around theoretical assumptions and by our unclear role in the NOP. We were also "hung up", he said, because the working class "has not been in motion since World War II, while other groups who don't fit into our theories are in motion.

He suggested that we combat the Irrelevancy of our hab-Itual forum for talking about labour (that of conventions) by encouraging a labour caucus in the Waffle, and by making contacts with militant caucuses in trade union locals, which he felt were part of the Ontario trade union structure. He saw the waifle's role as a supportive one in terms of action: offering materials, speakers, etc.

Bret emphasized the need for waftlers to engage in selfeducation about the labour movement, both in theoretical terms and in pulling together recent trade union history and infor-

guess who?

"I subscribe to the hellef that socialism is not about the public ownership of the means of production, but about the public ownership of power," he said. "That means democracy." democracy.

He also made it plain to his audience that he does not be-lieve NDP government in Ontario will mean a gulf be-tween the world of husiness

He admitted be had Has admitted be had put an extra amount of time and effort into the ispeech, which was designed to calm the fears of the business community that an NDF government in Ontario would mean massive nationalization and stringent controls see private enterprise.

answer pg 6

ONLY FOR YOUNG & BRAZEN? toronto women's report

Although Ontario women's liberationists organized themselves in the spring of 1970 for the recent Ontario conventlon, the group more or less survived only long enough to agree upon resolutions and make physical arrangements for women's caucuses, etc., for the convention. Among other problems, there had been no previous apportunity to make effective contact with women outside of Toronto in the Party, and the majority of the women involved were already com-Itted to women's liberation and therefore involved in other women's groups In Toronto.

However, the convention proved to be a successful ground for making first contact with New Democratic women as yet "unliberated" and many signed the mailing list at the Elterature table and participated in convention women's meet-Ings.

The group in Forento new attracts 30 to 40 women per meeting, and an interesting situation prevails. A sizable minority of the women are middle-agedsurprising to those of us who are organizing the group, as women's liberation community-and-house-bound women to behas been widely held as a movement for

the young and brazen.

The first meeting was a general discussion of "why-are-we-here, and whatdo-we-want-to-do.." The clider women suggested that calling ourseives. "women's liberation" would allenate a large majority of older and sophisticated women, that the name would raise visions of "screaming, chanting women" chained to chairs in the Parliamentary galleries.
Other women objected to the "foul"

language they had encountered in the literature they had bought at the convention.

But they did come and were obviously political women, keen on seeing women's role in the NDP as something more significant than tea-pouring at fund-raising events.

It was clear, however, that they lacked an understanding of socialist women's politics and had perhaps not questioned the role which they played in their own family situations, the kinds of jobs they performed, and the relation between the conclusions they might draw from that to the economic and social system under which we live.

Although keen for action, the new women agreed that before our group could make any demands, we had to discuss our political differences thoroughly. We have since begun a series of educationals, which will hopefully orient the new women to the general analysis adhered to by feminists in the socialist movement. After two educationals (on "Socialization of Children" and "The Family") some of the women from suburban Toronto are still as anxious as they first were to set up their own groups to enable more come involved.

If we are successful in pulling women older than we are a little closer to our politics, a truly broad base of womem in the Party may develop, and will hopefully give the women's movement credibility where credibility ought to exist -in the minds of working class women, many married with children, who have experienced oppression longer and are less resilient to the well-established discriminatory mores of the society they live in.

Heather Prittie (for the Toronto group of the NDP Womens Liberation Movement.)

With a healthy developing Toronto group and a committee composed of all the women on the Ontario NOP executive--for the purpose of making financial demands--- the initial effort is being made to set up a conference of women in the Ontario NDPlooking to somewhere early in the new year for an actual date.

Virginia Hunter has taken the task of writing to the executive of riding assoclations, and to women from outside of Toronto who signed the mediling list at the Ont. convention or who are known to the group has active women New Democrats.

If you haven't heard from Virginia, write to her about your ideas for such a conference, including names of other women you know are interested, and get yourself on the mailing list to reselve notices in the near future.

Write to her c/o 136 Hapbourne Street, Toronto, Ontario.



(held up by male chauvinist) -- aged2 weeks

The chairwoman of the waffle women's committee regretfully admits she has produced little in the past six weeks, excepting her new infant.

She hopes to rectify the situation within a month by getting in touch with waffle women in each province to see what they think can and should be done on a national level to aid local groups in their organizational and aducational efforts. As no provincial women's group has yet sent in mames of contects, the first letter will be mailed to people in each region who ettended the women's caucus meetings at the national conference in August.

Proposals arising from these communications will be published in the newsletter. Possible national initiatives include: Distribution of relevant articles and papers on women's liberation to local groups; discussion of strategies for in-party work and convention initiatives; organizing

Suggestions should be sent to KRISTA MAEOTS, 509 MecDonnell, #4, Kingston, Ont.

a national conterence on women's lib

Stephen Lewis, leader of the Ontario New Democratic Party,

Ł

To bring presently privately.

owned corporations under public ownership would be to bring our economy dirsectly under democratic control All public enterprises must be run in such a way as to give control in decision-making to workers and the commu-

Acrose Canada we desire a new set of social and working relations in which people themselves actually decide local issues in the factory, office, neighbourhood and school. Socialist democracy means both the control of the larger provincial and national communities by the people as a whole. (p. 3.)

position must be simply put, our spokesmen seem drawn almost as public ownership or nationby some form of rhetorical de- alisation, they derive their terminism to represent the Waf-understanding of such things fle's position as one of advo- from the history of the theory the line mention of industrial porations in Canada and the democracy or workers' control democracy or workers' control that ing is I think redolent of images, ing class in this country the opinion leaders of the sither not made or given litting is I think redolent of images, ho are presently non-unionised media since the manifesto, the amphasis. It is significant of bureaucracy, statism, deper ty concern is with the quality that, at the recent Ontario MDF Convention, the Waffle can public is correct.) (errainly etc. (And surely the Canadian

HUELGA ENCORE

WATCH THE LABEL

Incredible as it seems, Cesar Chavez of the United Farmworkers organizing committee has been jailed. Jailed until he calls off the boycott ve lettuce which is being produced on the land of agribusinessmen who will not halt years of exploitation of thousands of faraworkers in California.s time the farmworkers aren't just working vs private capitalists; the are working against "Bud they Antle" produce growers, a subsidiary of Dow Chemical. Perhaps that's why (after (a massive and powerful grape boycott) it took the lettuce issue to land Chavez in jail. But, thousands of workers are being wiped out in California because bosses either refuse to engage in contract negotiations or won't even recognize union affiliation for their "serfs".

FROM ottawa...

The Offawa waifle has decided to organize itself around constituency work. mailing list has been divided into the six ridings and those students who are aiready involved at Cariton University. One or two members from each constituency has agreed to take on the sesponsibility on an Ottawa steering committee. There is also a central information number where information about constituency work, community actlvities are to be meported. They can then be phoned to the warlous contacts in each riding.

The steering committee consists of volunteers including the contact from each riding and anyone interested in a particular issue or activity. We intend to try to work through the constituency wherever possible, setting up educationals, involving ourselves in community action, doing compaign and membership work and in writing resolutions for the Federal Convention.

To encourage more participation by wafflers and those interested in the Waffle, we intend to send out a bulletin announcing General Meetings and an outline of the types of activities in the community for the winter.

We intend to set up a committee to organize support for Jim Laxer in the area and eat green beans every other Thursday!!!

So the faraworkers are organizing again in Canada, where they made significant inroads in terms of the grape boycott. All they need now are people who are unwilling to patronize outlets which sell scap lettuce and people who are willing to make a fuss in areas where grocers buy and sell the produce of California's "bossmen supreme".

DON'T BUY NON-UNION LETTUCE (and little American lettuce coming into Canada during these winter months is union-lettuce)

SUPPORT THE FARMWORKERS ORGANIZING COMMITTEE-11: Spadina Road, Toronto, Ontario.

iv successful resolution on energy resource industries did not talk in terms of democratising or decentralising control about industrial democracy, of these industries by those working in them; mather the resolution called for their nationalisation. Certainly this was the understanding of the intent of this resolution held by both party delegates and the media. Again the impression is easily put abroad that the Waffle is primarily interested in setting up in Canada some form of state socialism.
The Waffle is responsible

for mistaken impressions that it knowingly creates in the minds that the essence of democratic of the Canadian people. Perhaps we do not even realise that we are communicating with the Canadian public in much a way in situations when the Waffle position. When the Canadian public hears mention of such things cating public ownership and/or and practise of nationalisation, nationalisation. Somewhere alonypublic ownership and crown cor-United Kingdom. This understand-

lanadians do not believe that ationalisation and public ovhuman community, self-creativity, and individual autonomy, values that we correctly assert as the likely condition of the work-place in a democratic socialist society. It is not so much that either the Waffle or its would-be constituency is in error in its respective appreciation of democratic socialism: it is rather that each is talking past the other,

If the Waffle believes socialism is industrial democracy plus the socialisation of ownership, then it should say as much rather than talk alisation, concepts that are suggestive of varients of state socialism.

it is thus axiomatic that the Waffle must effectively communicate its theory of democratic socialism both to the members of the trade unions and those sections of the worsonalisation, authoritarianism, of our communications. Put briefly, I believe that we are confusing a lot of people out-- side the membership of the

Waffile group by our ambiguous mership would be likely to bring and unsystematic usage of such terms as 'public nwnership' and 'mationalisation'. While it may be true that members of the Waffle understand the conceptual and theoretical implications of such terms the same does not necessarily hold for the Canadian public at large. If ordinary people were asked about the distinctiveness of the Waffle's position on policy matters, one suspects that the answer would be to the effect that the Waffle primarily espouses the policies of public ownership and nationalisation. Of more concern is the manner in which the average citizen understands the theoretical implications of such terms. It is true to say that the average Canadian understands the notions public ownership and nationalisation as parts of a more fully-blown theory that we would identify as state socialism.

The Waffle is partially justified in arguing that we have been misrepresented by the opinion leaders of the For a Socialist Ontario in an Independent Socialist Canada, is somewhat specific as to what is compated by democratic

Bocialism:

CAUCUS GALLS THE SHOTS:

manitoba

then the NDP wins office, who calls the shots-the caucus or the convention? This was the all-pervasive question the Manitoba NDP's first annual convention since taking

office in July, 1969.

The Cabinet and the party establishment were determined that the two-day convention (Oct. 30-Nov. 1) be little more than a cheerleading exercise for the government team. Aware that the Waffle group would try to use the convention as a means of changing the nebulous government priorities, Cab-Inet heavies were never for from the mikes to plead that the convention not "tie the hands" of the government. short conversation at the Economic Development panel to Illustrate: Finance Minister Saud Cherniack had amended a motion demanding the government cease aiding private enterprise in the province to read that the government be "urged" to cease such ald. The chalrman was reading the amendment before calling the vote.

Len Evans, Industry Minister: Point of order, Mr. Chairman, wasn't the amendment "urge to consider" rather than

"urge?"

David Orlikow, MP (to Evans): "Urge to consider at its discretion? heh, heh..."
Evens: "When convenient...heh, heh."

in short, the caucus wanted independence from the party of which it was part. The Convention could urge the government to consider policies "at its discretion" within the next century but it could not run the government. Only the business community could do that. This time around, the government was victorious -- mainly because, as the Evans -Orlikow interchange shows, it was dishonest with the conven flon.

But the heavy-hearded interference of the Cabinet was, in many ways, a God-send to Maniitobals Waffle. Those delegates who were dubious of the cautious rhetoric and nonradical performance of the government's first year recognized that only the Waffile had any policies to offer. Waffie was the only group that was doing anything more than applianding the ampty speeches of the Cabinet members and the party executive.

The majority of the delegates, in the crunch, were not dissatisfied enough with Schreyer and company to give the media the picture of a deeply-divided party. And--as many

B.C. WAFFLERS

CIVIC POLITICS

Civic Elections

In Vancouver, there was an intense debate in the summer and into the fall on the entry of the NDP into the December civic elections. Waffle members were deeply involved in that debate, although not always in agreement themselves. The final decision was to run a half-state under the NDP banner. Among & Waffle supporters who won NDP nominations were Dr. Harry Winrob, John Stanton, and Hilda Thomas for alderman. Others were nominated for the School Board, Parks Board, and for Campaign Manager. .

Wafflers were also involved in civic politics in other B.C. municipalities. In Burnaby, several Waffle supporters are tunning under the banner of the Burnaby Citizens' Association, A Richmond Waffle member of the B.C. steering committee, Harold

Steves, is running for reelection as alderman.

Although heavy committment to civic elections is cutting into Waffle activity as such, it is felt that the Waffle will emerge in a stronger position as a result of the experi-

Foruma

The Political Education Committee has started a series of open aducational discussions aimed at getting Wafflers and others involved in discussion of issues, and developing a basis for Waffle policy in B.C. They will be held once a month, and so far planned are a panel on Tenants in November, a discussion on Workers Control in December, and a larger seminar on the Resources issue in January.

Quebec Individual Wafflers have

events by the end of the Convention showed--Cabinet members could change hundreds of minds with a few words. key votes the Waffle was defeated by a margin of 5 to 2.

The convention was barely an hour old when the Weffle was the centre of controversy. The executive had ruled that no literature tables would be allowed. Wafflers had asked the convention for a repeal of this decision. Magnus Ellason, Winnipeg Alderman and old-time right-winger, moved that Waffle and Women's Lib not be allowed tables. Waffle was a "party within a party", he charged, and Women's Lib had no place in the party. The Waffle made its position on the party clear and Ellason's amendment was defeated all but unanimousty. Resources Minister Sid Green argued that It was incorrect to deny free speech to any one group in the party and therefore NO tables should be allowed. The delegates accepted his peculiar logic as they would several times before the convention was over.

All eight panels debated a number of Waiffle resolutions which the old guard tried to amend out of existence. Chief tactic of the Cabinet ministers was to tell delegates: "Everybody in the party wants the same thing. We agree with the intent of this resolution but it would be unwise to be: dogmatic and to atraight-jacket the government," Yet, in most panels, the government had only tlay majoritles on its

moves to amend Waffle proposals.

In the Labour panel, tough Weifle resolutions that would place the NDP government solicity on the side of labour during strikes, make unionization easier and place the threat of expropriation over companies planning to leave the province passed, despite vigorous disapprovat from Labour Minister Russ Paulley and Klidonan MLA Pater Fox--both unionists. In Economic Davelopment the two ministers present would have lost on their amendments except for Mr. Orlikows adeptness in finding people from other panels to come in and vote at crucial times. Only in Northern Development where Sidney Green, the party's most devastating debater, held reign, did the Waffle suffer a rout.

A Waffle meeting, announced to a half-empty convention due to a dragged-out constitutional session attracted 120 people--many from curel and northern ridings where the Waffle previously had no contacts. Discussion at the meeting made clear that both committed wattlers and delegates interested in the Weffle agreed that the caucus did not want direction from the convention and was more interested in holding office than in seriously challenging Manitoba's economic power structure. A siste for executive positions was selected, and a decision was made to concentrate on a constitutional amendment that would bind elected members to

to convention polley.

The amendment was easily defeated Sunday. First, Magnus Ellason told the delegates that the constitution already tied elected members to convention policy. And depending on how one reads it, it may. But Sid Green didn't read it that way. In his best debating style, he told delegates that to vote for the amendment was to vote non-confidence

> been active in the two committees that have arisen in Vancouver, one to oppose the War Measures Act and any substitute legislation that removes political rights, and the other to spread information about Quebec, and support its right of self-determination.

Conference

A major Waffle conference has been scheduled for December 12 (after the civic elections), and will deal with proposals for restructuring the Waffle, sleet new committees, and start debate on federal policy resolutions, as well as discuss future Waffle activity in B.C. Am attempt will be made to involve outof-town people in this, as a major weakness of the B.C. Waffle has been a lack of participation by people outside the Vancouver area.

COLONIZED-GONICK

American capitalist control of Manitoba was brought up to date in a recent press conference by provincial MLA Cy Gonfek.

Industrial takeovers in the dairy and funeral industry headed the list and were followed by references to the Bosing Corporation's recent establishment in the province as well so that of CFI, whose American based senior partner Technopulp has transformed one half of morthern Mamitoba into its own fief.

The recent announcement of negotiations for the male of electric power to the U.S. confirms Manitoba's status as a developing resource colony, Gonick said.

"For every job it provides for Manitobans, it exports 100 more to U.S. factories that use Canadian energy pesources."

"The government of Manitobs has yet to develop a coherent policy on American ownership. It is not enough to say that it would be nice to have more Canadian investment but that Manitoba is poor in dollars and has to take capital from wherever it can. Menitobe is not poor in dollars ... it has borrowed over \$150,000,000 which it then loaned to foreign controlled companies through the Manitoba Development Fund."

Viable economic enterprises can be established under the control of the people of Manitoba with the mobilization of Hamitoban and Canadian capital, Gonick said.

His 10 point program to halt the Americanization of Manitoba includes: establishment of a vast text book publishing company to publish text books for all the school boards in the province and for other provinces as well:

-- conversion of the Manitobs Development Corporation into an agency for the creation of new Manitoba controlled co-operatives and Crown corporations and give a public undertaking not to loan public funds to American-controlled companies.

-establishment of a "massive research and exploratory agency to develop new technology and new products, to discover new mines - all of which information would be used in support of new and existing public enterprise". ---public take-overs of the Greater Winnipeg Gas Company; the International Nickel Company operations in northern Manitoba, (the annual profits of which are probably in excess of \$25,000,000 yearly); Modern Dairy (a firm that controls about 80 percent of the province's dairy industry and has just been bought out by a huge American conglomerate). --establishment of a crown drug industry and co-operative funeral homes.

BC VIGNETTE

Waffler: Would you like to read about the energy resources sell-out, M'am? Little Old Lady: Ooch. Now which group are you?

Wafflers We're from the Waffle movement in the N.D.P.

You're the ones that are L.O.L.: Oooh. making all the trouble.

Waffler: If we can make trouble for the people that are selling our country out and keeping Canadians unsmployed, blah,

blah, blah.... L.O.L.: Ocoh. I agree with what you think about the Americans and all, but it just isn't Canadian to make a lot of trouble.

manitoba continued . . .

in the party's elected members. His verdict was accepted. The convention had voted itself powerless. After that point it really didn't matter what motions passed since the government was only bound to consider the policies and not necessarily bring them into being.

The Waffle was nevertheless determined that the convention be shown the gap between party wishes and government actions. The opportunity came after an excellent speech by Tommy Douglas before the morning session broke up. Douglas won thundering evations as he explained why he and most of the tederal caucus had taken the politically unpopular step of opposing the War Measures Act. He spoke of a visit to Germany in 1933 where social democrats and trade unionists had attempted to justify to him the actions of Adolf Hitler. Schrayer, who had supported the Act with Insigificant qualifications, sat uncomfortably during Douglas' speech. did Joe Borowski, who had suggested Cy Gonick be jailed for

his opposition to the Act.

As the afternoon plenary session began, the Waffle moved that first consideration be given to a resolution supporting Douglas that had easily been pessed in a panel the day before. Since the resolution was in panel seven and the convention was running far behind schedule, the motion could otherwise never reach the floor. The Waffle idea was to unite the party behind Douglas on the Act so that the early statements of Schreyer and Borowski would not be left In the public mind as the stand of this Manitoba NOP. A twothirds vote was needed to change the order of resolutions. A show of hands gave the Weffle perhaps 80% of convention support. The Schreyerites however, wented a count. count was 135 to 99--12 short of two-thirds, a dublous count considering there were over 400 people in the room. The Watfie called for a standing vote and was confident of winning. But Sidney Green got up on a point of privelege and blested a Waffler who had, with rather little fact, childed delegates who voted against the agenda change as opposing the stand of the national leader. Green, acting again as the government's chief hatchet man at the convention, said those who wanted to change the agenda were arro gant and promoting divisiveness. The motion would be debated in its place, he aruged loudly in his usual rat-a-tat-tat style, knowing the opposite to be true. Green's diversionary tactic worked--the standing vote reversed the convention's willingness to stand up for Douglas.

But the Waffle attempted once more to get the resolution on the floor. Shelle Kuzlex explained that Green had misInterpreted the content of the resolution: the idea was to unify the party behind Douglas, not divide. Schreyer, visibly perturbed, rose to say he could not understand why Kuziak was making a personal attack on Sid Green! What she had said that could be construed as an attack on the cunning Resources Minister, only the Premier can know. Schreyer them deliberately distorted the resolution by suggesting that no-one was challenging Mr. Douglas' Headership in the "broad sense." But, of course, the resolution had nothing to do with Mr. Douglas' leadership; It dealt with his stand on the War Measures Act.

Although the convention had been builted by Green not to discuss the W.M. Act, it did in fact get to vote indirectly when Schreyer made the party leader's speech later that afternoon. There was deafening slience while Schreyer spoke about the Act. He received only polite appliase when he had finished. Compare that to the four long standing ovations Douglas' speech received and the delegates' true feelings become clear. Schreyer equivocated on the Act as he is wont to do on all issues. He also pleaded that the party remain united and remember that if certain wings of the NDP were to leave, reactionary forces would have an easier task in regaining office. It was a pathetic speech. Schreyer seemed confused. It was perhaps a worried man, somewhat uncertain of his control in a party that he was taking in a rightward direction, who equivocated in front of the conven-

After Schrayer's speech, the convention was effectively over. Panel resolutions not discussed in plenary will be discussed at a policy convention in January (the results of which do not bind the caucus, of course).

Some general observations about the convention and the Manitoba party: first, caucus supremacy was, for the time being, confirmed. The result can only be that the party, already inactive, will become more inert. This of course gives the Waffle group the task of reactivating very much on its own a party that has been turned into a supportive charus for the government. If the government continues to do as little for workers, farmers and the poor as it has done thus far, support for Waifle positions will grow.

Waffle's partial success in the election of the execut-Ive (four of the ten elected were Wafflers; one other is partially sympathetic to the Waffle) will also mean a less bas existed for the past years.